

Jan C. Bernauer for the eRD23 EIC Streaming Readout Consortium

EIC R&D meeting, remote, March 2021



RBRC
RIKEN BNL Research Center

Stony Brook University

### Who are we: SRC members

- ► Brookhaven National Laboratory: J. Huang, M. Purschke,
- ► Catholic University of America: S. Ali, V. Berdnikov, T. Horn, M. Muhoza, I. Pegg, R. Trotta
- ► INFN Genova: M. Battaglieri, M. Bondì, A. Celentano, L. Marsicano, P. Musico
- ► INFN Roma: F. Ameli
- ► INFN Roma Tor Vergata: A. d'Angelo, L. Lanza
- ► INFN Bologna: L. Cappelli, T. Chiarusi, F. Giacomini, C. Pellegrino
- MIT: D. Hasell, C. Fanelli, I. Friščić, R. Milner
- ► Stony Brook University: J. C. Bernauer (also RBRC), E. Cline
- ► Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility: S. Boyarinov, C. Cuevas, M. Diefenthaler, R. Ent, Y. Furletova, V. Gyurjyan, G. Heyes, D. Lawrence, B. Raydo

Additionally many regulars



- November 16-18, 2020
- Organized by BNL
- ► All online because of COVID
- Many topics

Where we are: EIC UG Yellow Report

For these reasons, we are studying and developing a full streaming-readout DAQ system for the EIC detector, integrating all the sub-detector components.

[YR started paradigm-agnostic. Organizers could not find anybody advocating for triggered readout.]

What we achieved: eRD23

- eRD23 had minimal funding levels. Concentrated on fostering community.
- ► Had 7 workshops, next one planned for April by MIT.
- ► Steadily growing attendance, including people not involved in EIC.
- Build community beyond the labs.

#### Results: Readout network

- ► Rate estimates (Jin Huang)
  - ► Baseline rate for this/similar detector concept below sPHENIX, doable now.
- Bottleneck is disk space, not network. Cost, not technology.
- ► Protocols
  - Essentially everybody has a packetized, content agnostic protocol
  - Easily extended for streaming
  - ► No show stoppers, but find optimal solution wrt. I/O

### Results: timing & accelerator integration

- ► Phase-lock to bunch clock advantageous
  - ► Hardware at sPHENIX probably portable
  - Can also distribute start/stop/mark signals
- System must provide fast feed back to accelerator control
  - ► HW signals generated in FEE or concentrators
  - Software can provide soft-realtime info at higher level.
- ▶ Plan for staged bring-up

Results: FEE

- ► Collected info on possible readout ASICs
- ► Connection with industry. What is in the pipeline
- ► Must be a topic during technology down-select. Can you read out your detector! Can you pay for it?
  - ► Trade-off between performance, size, price. One don't always get to pick two.

Results: Software

- ► No complete online event building necessary.
  - Do subset for QA
- ► Border between online and offline blurs.
  - ► Have at least some capabilities of offline analysis online
- ► Border between counting room, on-site and off-site compute blurs
  - ► Need flexible framework

### Progress outside of eRD23: JLAB

- ► FADC250 in streaming mode
- ► TriDas back end software
- ► JANA2 analysis software
- ► INDRA-ASTRA lab: ML/Al anomaly detection
- ► CLAS 12 SRO test → ERSAP will redesign backend in general/EIC compatible way

# Progress outside of eRD23: BNL (sPHENIX)

- ► Final hardware and computing infrastructure installed. Enough for streaming tests up to 18Tbps!
- ► Updated Timing modules based on Xilinx Ultrascale+

### Progress outside of eRD23: SBU

- ▶ Development of streaming TDC (UGrad project).
- ► Bit-level Protocol generator/tool generation/documentation system

## The way ahead: Exp's with SRO

- ► JLAB: Continued development of SRO for CLAS12, Hall-D
- ► BNL: sPHENIX hybrid readout. EIC-scale rates
  - ► Already pays off: The PAC commends sPHENIX for developing the continuous streaming readout option that will increase their data collection in Run-24 by orders of magnitude.
- ► MIT/SBU/INFN/CUA: Beam tests for TPEX at DESY and JLAB planned

This will provide useful hands-on experience in the next few years

### SRO for EIC: what is "same-old same-old"

- Update rate estimates for different detectors
- ➤ Software framework/toolkit
- ► Read-out network
- ► Play-back tools/analysis
- ► Data flow management on-site

### SRO for EIC: What is new, but not "just work"

- ► Data flow management off-site
- ► Online, high-level data processing
  - ► for QA (definitely) and data reduction (if needed)
  - orchestration with HPC
- ► ML/Al integration
- Existing ASICs: Are process nodes still available?
  - Likely yes
  - But maybe needs rework for masks etc (see SAMPA)

#### SRO for EIC: Critical items

- ► Can we get more physics with SRO?
- ▶ Do we have a readout solution for all detectors? (Not limited to SRO)
  - ▶ Rate manageable?
  - ► Heat/Power/...?
- ▶ Do we need new ASICs?
  - ► Timeline is CRITICAL: 6 years after funding minimum for new design. So 2028!
- Detector readout cannot be an afterthought.
  - Need to be part of proposal process!
  - Must span sub-detectors

### Our plans for the future

- ► Continue workshop series important for community
- ► Work closely with proposal groups
  - ► ECCE, IP6, others?
  - ► Reach out to us if nobody of us is part of your proposal!
  - ► Come to the workshop(s)!
- ► EOIs:
  - ► 18/45 mention streaming readout
  - ► 5/45 metion trigger electronics (mostly "have experience with")
  - ▶ 13/45 mention some form of electronics

#### Future activities

#### Work items:

- ► Work on proposal/with detector groups to integrate (S)RO in the detector design.
- ► Develop full readout framework
  - ► FEE/ RO Network/ Software
- ► Validation of SRO (sPHENIX, CLAS12), EIC specific components

Most work so far funded by / in context of other projects. No resources to do EIC specific work.

This is not sustainable. A dedicated EIC solution (SRO or triggered) needs dedicated financial support.

- ► Need commitment, resources.
- Cannot be "distributed" over detector development.
- ► Cannot be postponed.